### Discussion

# (In)efficiency in Information Acquisition and Aggregation through Prices

by Alessandro Pavan, Savitar Sundaresan, and Xavier Vives

Eduardo Dávila

Yale and NBER

Econometric Society Meetings January 8, 2022

## This paper

Central (underexplored) questions in normative finance

- 1. Is trading in financial markets efficient? (when investors learn from prices)
- 2. Is information acquisition efficient?
- 3. Which policies can correct inefficiencies?
- Very hard questions
  - Welfare analysis with dispersed information is hard
  - A lot of the literature falls short

## This paper

Central (underexplored) questions in normative finance

- 1. Is trading in financial markets efficient? (when investors learn from prices)
- 2. Is information acquisition efficient?
- 3. Which policies can correct inefficiencies?
- Very hard questions
  - Welfare analysis with dispersed information is hard
  - A lot of the literature falls short
- This paper: provides answers to all three questions
  - In a particular linear quadratic environment
  - Building on Xavier's earlier work (Vives 2017, Restud)
    - Correlated noise and information acquisition

## This paper

Central (underexplored) questions in normative finance

- 1. Is trading in financial markets efficient? (when investors learn from prices)
- 2. Is information acquisition efficient?
- 3. Which policies can correct inefficiencies?
- Very hard questions
  - Welfare analysis with dispersed information is hard
  - A lot of the literature falls short

This paper: provides answers to all three questions

- In a particular linear quadratic environment
- Building on Xavier's earlier work (Vives 2017, Restud)
  - Correlated noise and information acquisition

### Key insights

- 1. Trading is inefficient (pecuniary and information externality)
  - Optimal policy  $T(x_i, p)$
- 2. Info. acquisition is inefficient even when financial trading is optimal
  - Optimal policy  $T(x_i, p, \tilde{x})$  or  $T(x_i, p, y_i)$

## Outline of the discussion



- 1. Environment
- 2. Main results



### Environment

Traders

Linear-quadratic objective; learn from prices

$$\max_{x_i}(\theta-p)x_i - \lambda \frac{x_i^2}{2}$$

• Private signals over  $\theta \sim N(0, \sigma_{\theta}^2)$ ; cost of  $y_i$  is  $\mathcal{C}(y_i)$ 

$$s_i \equiv \theta + \epsilon_i$$
 where  $\epsilon_i \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{y_i}} \left( \eta + e_i 
ight)$ 

Correlated signals

Linear demand

$$x_i(s_i, p) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \mid I_i, p \right] - p \right) = a^* s_i + \hat{b}^* - \hat{c}^* p$$

### Environment

Traders

Linear-quadratic objective; learn from prices

$$\max_{x_i}(\theta-p)x_i - \lambda \frac{x_i^2}{2}$$

• Private signals over  $\theta \sim N(0, \sigma_{\theta}^2)$ ; cost of  $y_i$  is  $\mathcal{C}(y_i)$ 

$$s_i \equiv \theta + \epsilon_i$$
 where  $\epsilon_i \equiv rac{1}{\sqrt{y_i}} \left(\eta + e_i
ight)$ 

Correlated signals

Linear demand

$$x_i(s_i, p) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \mid I_i, p \right] - p \right) = a^* s_i + \hat{b}^* - \hat{c}^* p$$

### Liquidity supplier

Linear-quadratic objective, no learning, non-strategic

$$\max_{\tilde{x}}(p+u-\alpha)\tilde{x}-\beta\frac{\tilde{x}^2}{2} \Rightarrow \tilde{x}=\frac{1}{\beta}(p+u-\alpha)$$

• Hedging/noise shock  $u \sim N\left(0, \sigma_u^2\right)$ 

## Equilibrium/Welfare

1. Competitive REE with price signal  $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

$$z = \theta + f(y)\eta - \frac{u}{\beta a^*}$$

Payoff (θ) + 2 sources of noise (η and u)
 Question: can we kill u? And the liquidity providers?

### Equilibrium/Welfare

1. Competitive REE with price signal  $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

$$z = \theta + f(y)\eta - \frac{u}{\beta a^*}$$

2. Welfare

$$W \equiv \int_0^1 \left( \theta x_i - \frac{\lambda}{2} x_i^2 \right) di + \left( u - \alpha - \beta \frac{\tilde{x}}{2} \right) \tilde{x}$$

#### Team-efficient solution: reasonable benchmark

- Maximizes welfare subject to linear demands
- Liquidity provider choices are taken as given
- Aggregation exploits quasilinearity

# Main Results: Trading Stage

Planning solution

$$a^{T} = \frac{\cdots}{\cdots + \Xi\left(a^{T}\right) + \Delta\left(a^{T}\right)}$$

- Pecuniary externality \(\mathbf{E}\) (a<sup>T</sup>) > 0: investors respond too much to private info
  - Independent of informativeness of price
- ► Information externality Δ (a<sup>T</sup>) < 0: investors response too little to private info</p>

# Main Results: Trading Stage

Planning solution

$$a^{T} = \frac{\cdots}{\cdots + \Xi\left(a^{T}\right) + \Delta\left(a^{T}\right)}$$

Pecuniary externality \(\mathbf{E}\) (a<sup>T</sup>) > 0: investors respond too much to private info

Independent of informativeness of price

- Information externality ∆ (a<sup>T</sup>) < 0: investors response too little to private info</p>
- Optimal policy:

$$T(x_i, p) = \frac{\delta}{2}x_i^2 - t_0x_i + t_ppx_i$$
$$= \left(\frac{\delta}{2}x_i - t_0 + t_pp\right)x_i$$

 Remark: the planner wants to correct each of the three demand coefficients

## Main Results: Info. Acquisition Stage

- Info acquisition is inefficient even under optimal financial trading
  - Sign depends on slope of efficient demands
  - Strategic complements/substitutes?

### Main Results: Info. Acquisition Stage

- Info acquisition is inefficient even under optimal financial trading
  - Sign depends on slope of efficient demands
  - Strategic complements/substitutes?
- Optimal policy #1: (aggregate volume of trade)

$$T(x_i, \tilde{x}, p) = \frac{\delta^*}{2} x_i^2 - t_0^* x_i + t_p^* p x_i + \underbrace{(t_{\tilde{x}}^* \tilde{x}) x_i}_{i}$$

Optimal policy #2: (info. acquisition choice)

$$T(x_i, p, y_i) = \frac{\delta}{2}x_i^2 - t_0x_i + t_ppx_i - \underbrace{Ay_i}_{i}$$

#### Remark: #2 is very intuitive

- Pigouvian principle
- An extra instrument is needed

### 1. Mechanism behind pecuniary externality

- Large literature on constrained inefficiency in incomplete markets (e.g.: GP86, GV02, DK18)
- With complete markets, this externality should disappear
- Which exact form of incompleteness is critical here?
  - With respect to individual signals or aggregates?

### 1. Mechanism behind pecuniary externality

- Large literature on constrained inefficiency in incomplete markets (e.g.: GP86, GV02, DK18)
- With complete markets, this externality should disappear
- Which exact form of incompleteness is critical here?
  - With respect to individual signals or aggregates?

### 2. Mechanism behind information externality

Why are exactly investors better off with more informative prices?

No production here; there is only risk sharing

- Linear-quadratic preferences are not expected utility
  - They embed early resolution of uncertainty
  - Is this the explanation?
- Is it possible to derive results with expected utility?
- I very much like the cursed equilibrium result

### 3. Asymmetry

- Would it possible to derive some results without liquidity providers?
- A setup with ex-ante symmetric investors may be easier to understand
- In this model liquidity providers are unregulated by assumption. Why?

### 3. Asymmetry

- Would it possible to derive some results without liquidity providers?
- A setup with ex-ante symmetric investors may be easier to understand
- In this model liquidity providers are unregulated by assumption. Why?

### 4. Generality

- It'd be nice to consider more general environments
- Within linear-quadratic class would be enough
- Can the signs of the externalities switch?

### 3. Asymmetry

- Would it possible to derive some results without liquidity providers?
- A setup with ex-ante symmetric investors may be easier to understand
- In this model liquidity providers are unregulated by assumption. Why?
- 4. Generality
  - It'd be nice to consider more general environments
  - Within linear-quadratic class would be enough
  - Can the signs of the externalities switch?
- 5. Hard to implement policies
  - Linear trading subsidies
  - Quadratic taxes
  - Constrained but more easily implementable policies?

### Conclusion

- Very nice paper in a very important topic
- Natural next step in this literature
- Opens the door to further research in the area