#### Discussion

# Moral Hazard Misconceptions: the Case of the Greenspan Put

by Gideon Bornstein and Guido Lorenzoni

Eduardo Dávila

NYU Stern

AEA Meetings January 7th 2017

► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy

- ► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy
- Focus on Greenspan put
  - "Greenspan put": monetary policy will counteract a reduction in asset prices, encouraging borrowing/risk-taking ex-ante

- ► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy
- Focus on Greenspan put
  - "Greenspan put": monetary policy will counteract a reduction in asset prices, encouraging borrowing/risk-taking ex-ante
- ► Misconception: interpreting the Greenspan put definition in a normative sense

- ► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy
- Focus on Greenspan put
  - "Greenspan put": monetary policy will counteract a reduction in asset prices, encouraging borrowing/risk-taking ex-ante
- Misconception: interpreting the Greenspan put definition in a normative sense
  - Arguing that the increase in ex-ante borrowing is harmful
  - ► An increase in ex-ante borrowing (behavioral response) may be the optimal response to ex-post policy accommodation

- ► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy
- Focus on Greenspan put
  - "Greenspan put": monetary policy will counteract a reduction in asset prices, encouraging borrowing/risk-taking ex-ante
- Misconception: interpreting the Greenspan put definition in a normative sense
  - Arguing that the increase in ex-ante borrowing is harmful
  - An increase in ex-ante borrowing (behavioral response) may be the optimal response to ex-post policy accommodation
- Careful analysis of how positive/normative arguments interplay

- ► This paper: explores the joint determination of monetary and macroprudential policy
- Focus on Greenspan put
  - "Greenspan put": monetary policy will counteract a reduction in asset prices, encouraging borrowing/risk-taking ex-ante
- Misconception: interpreting the Greenspan put definition in a normative sense
  - Arguing that the increase in ex-ante borrowing is harmful
  - An increase in ex-ante borrowing (behavioral response) may be the optimal response to ex-post policy accommodation
- Careful analysis of how positive/normative arguments interplay
- Broader message: "moral hazard" informal arguments are at times misguided

#### Outline

- 1. Description of environment
- 2. Discussion the results
- 3. Suggestions

- ightharpoonup Three periods, two agents (A, B):
  - A maximizes

$$c_1^A + \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^A\right) - v\left(n_A^2\right) + u\left(c_3^A\right)\right]$$

► B (borrower) maximizes

$$c_1^B + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^B\right) + \beta u\left(c_3^B\right)\right]$$
 , with  $\beta < 1$ 

- ightharpoonup Three periods, two agents (A, B):
  - A maximizes

$$c_1^A + \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^A\right) - v\left(n_A^2\right) + u\left(c_3^A\right)\right]$$

► B (borrower) maximizes

$$c_1^B + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^B\right) + \beta u\left(c_3^B\right)
ight]$$
 , with  $eta < 1$ 

lackbox Output produced at t=2+ Sticky prices at t=2 (NK layer)

- ightharpoonup Three periods, two agents (A, B):
  - A maximizes

$$c_1^A + \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^A\right) - v\left(n_A^2\right) + u\left(c_3^A\right)\right]$$

B (borrower) maximizes

$$c_1^B + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^B\right) + \beta u\left(c_3^B\right)
ight]$$
 , with  $eta < 1$ 

- Output produced at t = 2 + Sticky prices at t = 2 (NK layer)
- $\triangleright$  Exogenous endowment at t=3, state s revealed at date 2
  - ► Single source of uncertainty (maps to asset prices)
  - Endowment held by Borrowers (levered intermediaries)

- ightharpoonup Three periods, two agents (A, B):
  - A maximizes

$$c_1^A + \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^A\right) - v\left(n_A^2\right) + u\left(c_3^A\right)\right]$$

► B (borrower) maximizes

$$c_1^B + \beta \mathbb{E}\left[u\left(c_2^B\right) + \beta u\left(c_3^B\right)\right]$$
, with  $\beta < 1$ 

- Output produced at t = 2 + Sticky prices at t = 2 (NK layer)
- lacktriangle Exogenous endowment at t=3, state s revealed at date 2
  - Single source of uncertainty (maps to asset prices)
  - Endowment held by Borrowers (levered intermediaries)
- Key choices:
  - ightharpoonup Borrowing/saving decision at t=1
  - ightharpoonup Consumption/saving decision at t=2

- ► Three policy instruments
  - 1. Nominal rate (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing

- Three policy instruments
  - 1. **Nominal rate** (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing
- Three alternative monetary policy regimes
  - 1. Rigid regime
    - Monetary policy set before shock s is realized

- Three policy instruments
  - 1. Nominal rate (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing
- Three alternative monetary policy regimes
  - 1. Rigid regime
    - Monetary policy set before shock s is realized
  - 2. Flexible regime
    - ightharpoonup Fully state contingent monetary policy on s

- Three policy instruments
  - 1. Nominal rate (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing
- Three alternative monetary policy regimes
  - 1. Rigid regime
    - Monetary policy set before shock s is realized
  - 2. Flexible regime
    - Fully state contingent monetary policy on s
  - 3. Output gap targeting
    - Replicates flexible price results

- Three policy instruments
  - 1. Nominal rate (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing
- Three alternative monetary policy regimes
  - 1. Rigid regime
    - Monetary policy set before shock s is realized
  - 2. Flexible regime
    - Fully state contingent monetary policy on s
  - 3. Output gap targeting
    - Replicates flexible price results
- Ex-ante welfare maximization with transfers

- Three policy instruments
  - 1. Nominal rate (crucially real rate in date 2)
  - 2. Subsidy on intermediates
  - 3. Macroprudential tax on borrowing
- Three alternative monetary policy regimes
  - 1. Rigid regime
    - Monetary policy set before shock s is realized
  - 2. Flexible regime
    - Fully state contingent monetary policy on s
  - Output gap targeting
    - ► Replicates flexible price results
- Ex-ante welfare maximization with transfers
- Why are 2 and 3 (potentially) different?
  - Policy tradeoff between aggregate demand management and distributional issues (incomplete markets)

Rigid regime

$$\frac{dW}{dr} = \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{output gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r}}_{\text{AD}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - \beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{>0}{Y + D - c_{2}^{A}}}_{1 + r}\right]$$

Rigid regime

$$\frac{dW}{dr} = \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{output gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r}}_{\text{AD}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - \beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{>0}{Y + D - c_{2}^{A}}}_{1 + r}\right]$$

if  $(u'(c_2^A) - \beta u'(c_2^B)) > 0$  (in a given state), lenders value resources more, so increasing rates helps lenders (A) and increases welfare (distributive externality)

Rigid regime

$$\frac{dW}{dr} = \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{output gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r}}_{\text{AD}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right) - \beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{>0}{Y + D - c_{2}^{A}}}_{1 + r}\right]$$

- if  $(u'(c_2^A) \beta u'(c_2^B)) > 0$  (in a given state), lenders value resources more, so increasing rates helps lenders (A) and increases welfare (*distributive* externality)
- ► Third best
  - Aggregate demand + pecuniary tradeoff
  - ► Lack of state contingency

Flexible regime

$$\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{output gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r\left(s\right)}}_{\text{AD}} + \underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-\beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{Y+D-c_{2}^{A}}{1+r\left(s\right)}}_{\text{1}} = 0, \quad \forall r\left(s\right)$$

► Flexible regime

$$\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{output gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r\left(s\right)}}_{\text{AD}} + \underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-\beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{Y+D-c_{2}^{A}}{1+r\left(s\right)}}_{>0} = 0, \quad \forall r\left(s\right)$$

- same logic, state-by-state
- still second-best (first-best would require a lump-sum transfer)

Flexible regime

$$\underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-v'\left(Y\right)\right)}_{\text{out put gap}}\underbrace{\frac{\partial Y}{\partial r\left(s\right)}}_{\text{AD}} + \underbrace{\left(u'\left(c_{2}^{A}\right)-\beta u'\left(c_{2}^{B}\right)\right)}_{\text{distributional wedge}}\underbrace{\frac{Y+D-c_{2}^{A}}{1+r\left(s\right)}}_{\text{2}} = 0, \quad \forall r\left(s\right)$$

- same logic, state-by-state
- still second-best (first-best would require a lump-sum transfer)
- Output gap stabilization

$$u'\left(c_2^A\right) - v'\left(Y\right) = 0$$

Myopic interpretation

1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)

2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the **ex-ante** macroprudential policy? (normative)

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
  - Greenspan put/Moral hazard?
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
  - Greenspan put/Moral hazard?
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Excessive borrowing with rigid policy

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
  - Greenspan put/Moral hazard?
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Excessive borrowing with rigid policy
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Efficient borrowing with flexible and output gap targeting

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
  - Greenspan put/Moral hazard?
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Excessive borrowing with rigid policy
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Efficient borrowing with flexible and output gap targeting
- Interesting: flexible and output gap targeting policies with log utility implement same allocation
  - Degenerate case: monetary policy goes all the way to first-best

- 1. What are the positive effects of these policies on the ex-ante choice of debt? (positive)
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Higher debt ex-ante with flexible and output gap targeting than with rigid policy
  - Greenspan put/Moral hazard?
- 2. How the regime choice matters for the ex-ante macroprudential policy? (normative)
  - ► Log utility ⇒ Excessive borrowing with rigid policy
  - ▶ Log utility ⇒ Efficient borrowing with flexible and output gap targeting
- ► Interesting: flexible and output gap targeting policies with log utility implement same allocation
  - Degenerate case: monetary policy goes all the way to first-best
- Suggestion: rigid regime normative result (overborrowing) is closely related to the work on ZLB/pegs
  - It would useful to relate more to those results

- Outside of log utility: effects harder to characterize
  - ► Flexible and output gap targeting: example in which macroprudential policy is still needed
  - ► Rigid regime: overborrowing result survives

- 1. In the general case, scope to make the analysis **more** systematic, perhaps even numerically
  - ▶ Parameter combinations are associated with higher debt?
    - ▶ Parameter combinations are associated with overborrowing?
    - Any general result about the correlation between higher/lower debt ex-ante and over/under-borrowing

- 1. In the general case, scope to make the analysis **more** systematic, perhaps even numerically
  - ▶ Parameter combinations are associated with higher debt?
    - Parameter combinations are associated with overborrowing?
    - Any general result about the correlation between higher/lower debt ex-ante and over/under-borrowing
- 2. Parametrize the degree of MP rigidity
  - lacktriangle Flexibly move from r to  $\{r(s)\}$  with a penalty parameter

- 1. In the general case, scope to make the analysis more systematic, perhaps even numerically
  - Parameter combinations are associated with higher debt?
    - ▶ Parameter combinations are associated with overborrowing?
    - Any general result about the correlation between higher/lower debt ex-ante and over/under-borrowing
- 2. Parametrize the degree of MP rigidity
  - lacktriangle Flexibly move from r to  $\{r(s)\}$  with a penalty parameter
- The behavioral response in this paper comes through "precautionary savings" effect
  - At date 1, borrowers expect date 2 marginal utilities to vary less, making them more willing to borrow
  - ► Interesting to explore a **choice of riskiness**

- 1. In the general case, scope to make the analysis **more** systematic, perhaps even numerically
  - Parameter combinations are associated with higher debt?
    - ▶ Parameter combinations are associated with overborrowing?
    - Any general result about the correlation between higher/lower debt ex-ante and over/under-borrowing
- 2. Parametrize the degree of MP rigidity
  - lacktriangle Flexibly move from r to  $\{r(s)\}$  with a penalty parameter
- The behavioral response in this paper comes through "precautionary savings" effect
  - At date 1, borrowers expect date 2 marginal utilities to vary less, making them more willing to borrow
  - ► Interesting to explore a choice of riskiness
- 4. What if the change in prices is not coming from fundamentals
  - Time varying price of risk

#### Conclusion

- Contributes to understand the interaction between monetary and macroprudential policy
- ► The paper is very clear, in a context in which it is hard to get clean results
- Very important question
- Scope for further work on the topic