

Discussion

Falling Interest Rates and Credit Reallocation: Lessons from General Equilibrium

by Vladimir Asriyan, Luc Laeven, Alberto Martin,
Alejandro Van der Ghote, and Victoria Vanasco

Eduardo Dávila

Yale and NBER

Third Conference on Non-Bank Financial Sector
and Financial Stability Program
May 31, 2023

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods
- ▶ **Question:** What is the impact on output and welfare?

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods
- ▶ **Question:** What is the impact on output and welfare?
 - ▶ In economies with
 1. heterogeneous productivity
 2. financial frictions
 3. partially elastic capital supply

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods
- ▶ **Question:** What is the impact on output and welfare?
 - ▶ In economies with
 1. heterogeneous productivity
 2. financial frictions
 3. partially elastic capital supply
- ▶ **This paper:**
 - ▶ GE-induced capital reallocation dampens/may overcome direct effect of interest rate changes

How?

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods
- ▶ **Question:** What is the impact on output and welfare?
 - ▶ In economies with
 1. heterogeneous productivity
 2. financial frictions
 3. partially elastic capital supply
- ▶ **This paper:**
 - ▶ GE-induced capital reallocation dampens/may overcome direct effect of interest rate changes

How?

- ▶ Lower interest rates \Rightarrow All entrepreneurs invest more \Rightarrow Capital prices go up (GE) \Rightarrow More efficient entrepreneurs invest less

This Paper

- ▶ **Fact:** secular decline in interest rates
Also cyclical low interest rate periods
- ▶ **Question:** What is the impact on output and welfare?
 - ▶ In economies with
 1. heterogeneous productivity
 2. financial frictions
 3. partially elastic capital supply
- ▶ **This paper:**
 - ▶ GE-induced capital reallocation dampens/may overcome direct effect of interest rate changes

How?

 - ▶ Lower interest rates \Rightarrow All entrepreneurs invest more \Rightarrow Capital prices go up (GE) \Rightarrow More efficient entrepreneurs invest less
- ▶ Elegant and carefully crafted framework
 - ▶ Theory + Dynamics/Quantification + Empirics

Outline of Discussion

1. Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects (in general)
2. Mechanism in the paper
3. Comments/Remarks/Questions

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Let's start with the planning problem
Adding up utilities

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Let's start with the planning problem

Adding up utilities

1. Entrepreneurs, indexed by efficiency A : distribution $G(A)$
With curvature, so production function is $AF(k_A)$
2. Capital supplier

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Let's start with the planning problem

Adding up utilities

1. Entrepreneurs, indexed by efficiency A : distribution $G(A)$
With curvature, so production function is $AF(k_A)$
2. Capital supplier

- ▶ Social welfare

$$W = \underbrace{\int AF(k_A) dG(A)}_{\text{Output}} - R \left(\underbrace{\chi \left(\int k_A dG(A) \right)}_{\text{Cost of Investment}} - w \right)$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation (in the paper: interest rates)

$$dW = \int AF'(k_A) dk_A dG(A) - R\chi'(K) \int dk_A dG(A)$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation

$$dW = \int \left(\underbrace{\overbrace{AF'(k_A)}^{\text{Mg. Benefit}} - \overbrace{R\chi'(K)}^{\text{Mg. Cost}}}_{SNV_A} \right) dk_A dG(A)$$

- ▶ SNV_A : Social net valuation

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \int SNV_A dk_A dG(A)$$

- ▶ SNV_A : Social net valuation

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A dk_A]$$

- ▶ SNV_A : Social net valuation

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A dk_A]$$

- ▶ Define share of capital owned by a , ψ_A , as

$$\psi_A = \frac{k_A}{K}$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A dk_A]$$

- ▶ Define share of capital owned by a , ψ_A , as

$$\psi_A = \frac{k_A}{K} \Rightarrow k_A = \psi_A K$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A dk_A]$$

- ▶ Define share of capital owned by a , ψ_A , as

$$\psi_A = \frac{k_A}{K} \Rightarrow dk_A = d\psi_A K + \psi_A dK$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A (d\psi_A K + \psi_A dK)]$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A d\psi_A] K + \mathbb{E}_A [SNV_A \psi_A] dK$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \underbrace{\text{Cov}_A [SNV_A, d\psi_A] K}_{\text{Cross-Sectional Capital Efficiency}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A] dK}_{\text{Aggregate Capital Efficiency}}$$

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \underbrace{\text{Cov}_A [SNV_A, d\psi_A] K}_{\text{Cross-Sectional Capital Efficiency}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A] dK}_{\text{Aggregate Capital Efficiency}}$$

- ▶ This paper is a theory of $d\psi_A$ (and dK)

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \underbrace{\text{Cov}_A [SNV_A, d\psi_A] K}_{\text{Cross-Sectional Capital Efficiency}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A] dK}_{\text{Aggregate Capital Efficiency}}$$

- ▶ This paper is a theory of $d\psi_A$ (and dK)

- ▶ **Remarks:**

1. First term captures reallocation: it is negative if $d\psi_A$ goes up for low NSV_A (low A)
This is the key mechanism of the paper!

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \underbrace{\text{Cov}_A [SNV_A, d\psi_A]}_{\text{Cross-Sectional Capital Efficiency}} K + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A]}_{\text{Aggregate Capital Efficiency}} dK$$

- ▶ This paper is a theory of $d\psi_A$ (and dK)

- ▶ **Remarks:**

1. First term captures reallocation: it is negative if $d\psi_A$ goes up for low NSV_A (low A)
This is the key mechanism of the paper!
2. $\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A]$ is typically positive due to aggregate financial frictions

Planning Problem: Reallocation vs. Aggregate Effects

- ▶ Take any perturbation: $SNV_A = AF'(k_A) - R\chi'(K)$

$$dW = \underbrace{\text{Cov}_A [SNV_A, d\psi_A]}_{\text{Cross-Sectional Capital Efficiency}} K + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A]}_{\text{Aggregate Capital Efficiency}} dK$$

- ▶ This paper is a theory of $d\psi_A$ (and dK)

- ▶ **Remarks:**

1. First term captures reallocation: it is negative if $d\psi_A$ goes up for low NSV_A (low A)
This is the key mechanism of the paper!
2. $\mathbb{E}_A [\psi_A SNV_A]$ is typically positive due to aggregate financial frictions
3. This derivation only requires preferences, technologies, and resource constraints (different from paper)
 - ▶ No assumptions on market structure
 - ▶ Check “Welfare Accounting” for a general version of this decomposition

Model of Financial Frictions

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Budget constraint: $qk_A = w + b_A$

Model of Financial Frictions

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Budget constraint: $qk_A = w + b_A$

- ▶ Financial constraint: $Rb_A = \lambda Ak_A \Rightarrow b_A = \frac{\lambda A}{R} k_A$

Model of Financial Frictions

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Budget constraint: $qk_A = w + b_A$

- ▶ Financial constraint: $Rb_A = \lambda Ak_A \Rightarrow b_A = \frac{\lambda A}{R} k_A$

- ▶ Capital demand (if constrained):

$$k_A(q, R) = \frac{1}{q - \frac{\lambda A}{R}} w$$

Model of Financial Frictions

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Budget constraint: $qk_A = w + b_A$

- ▶ Financial constraint: $Rb_A = \lambda Ak_A \Rightarrow b_A = \frac{\lambda A}{R} k_A$

- ▶ Capital demand (if constrained):

$$k_A(q, R) = \frac{1}{q - \frac{\lambda A}{R}} w$$

- ▶ Market clearing: demand=supply $\Rightarrow q(R)$

Model of Financial Frictions

- ▶ Model

- ▶ Budget constraint: $qk_A = w + b_A$

- ▶ Financial constraint: $Rb_A = \lambda A k_A \Rightarrow b_A = \frac{\lambda A}{R} k_A$

- ▶ Capital demand (if constrained):

$$k_A(q, R) = \frac{1}{q - \frac{\lambda A}{R}} w$$

- ▶ Market clearing: demand=supply $\Rightarrow q(R)$

- ▶ Effect of changes in rates

- ▶ Low rates \Rightarrow More borrowing capacity

- ▶ Low rates \Rightarrow High prices

Stronger effect for for high k_A investors

$$\frac{dk_A(q(R), R)}{dR} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial k_A}{\partial q}}_{<0} \underbrace{\frac{dq}{dR}}_{<0} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial k_A}{\partial R}}_{<0} \begin{matrix} \geq 0 \\ < 0 \end{matrix}$$

>0

Comments/Remarks/Questions

1. Role of net vs. gross capital purchases

- ▶ If investors start with some capital $qk_A = w + b_A + qk_A^0$

$$k_A(q, R) = \frac{1}{q - \frac{\lambda_A}{R}} (w + qk_A^0)$$

- ▶ “Endowment effect” that minimizes the GE channel
- ▶ Distributive pecuniary effects operate through net trade positions (Davila/Korinek 18)

Comments/Remarks/Questions

1. Role of net vs. gross capital purchases

- ▶ If investors start with some capital $qk_A = w + b_A + qk_A^0$

$$k_A(q, R) = \frac{1}{q - \frac{\lambda_A}{R}} (w + qk_A^0)$$

- ▶ “Endowment effect” that minimizes the GE channel
- ▶ Distributive pecuniary effects operate through net trade positions (Davila/Korinek 18)

2. What is the right frequency for the model?

- ▶ Calibration is annual
- ▶ Empirical analysis is high-frequency (aggregated)
- ▶ We need
 - ▶ Persistent productivity differences
 - ▶ Persistent financial frictions
 - ▶ Not fully elastic capital supply in the long run

Comments/Remarks/Questions

3. Empirical results

- ▶ “Monetary expansion is weaker in regions with a lower elasticity of real-estate supply”
- ▶ Sector-Year, Sector-Region, Region-Year FE: sources of identification?
- ▶ GDP in data vs. Output in the paper: $\frac{dW}{dR}$ vs. $\frac{dY}{dR}$

Comments/Remarks/Questions

3. Empirical results

- ▶ “Monetary expansion is weaker in regions with a lower elasticity of real-estate supply”
- ▶ Sector-Year, Sector-Region, Region-Year FE: sources of identification?
- ▶ GDP in data vs. Output in the paper: $\frac{dW}{dR}$ vs. $\frac{dY}{dR}$

4. Evidence on the GE channel

- ▶ Key mechanism: prices of capital (real-estate) go up \Rightarrow productive investors no longer purchase capital
- ▶ Can we find more direct evidence?
 - ▶ Misallocation literature (dispersion on MPK)
- ▶ Could there be other sources of misallocation?
 - ▶ Asymmetric information?
 - ▶ Bubbles?

Comments/Remarks/Questions

5. **Constrained efficient solution**

- ▶ Papers finds that marginal entrepreneur is more efficient than in CE
- ▶ Careful: less efficient entrepreneurs are worse off
- ▶ Paper looks at aggregate efficiency

Conclusion

- ▶ Nicely executed paper
- ▶ Plausible channel for why lower rates reduce output and welfare via misallocation
 - ▶ Clear mechanism
- ▶ Going forward: more measurement needed!